

ÜNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Pagent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSION FOR PATENTS P. D. Box 1450 Accandial Viginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/686,123	10/10/2000	Alex M. Gernert	SYM-0606C 7157	
28661	7590 04/07/2006		EXAMINER	
SIERRA PATENT GROUP, LTD.			NEURAUTER, GEORGE C	
Minden, NV	95, Suite 202 / 89423		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2143	
			DATE MAILED: 04/07/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/686,123	GERNERT ET AL		
Examiner	Art Unit		
George C. Neurauter, Jr.	2143		

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit						
	George C. Neurauter, Jr.	2143						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
HE REPLY FILED 16 March 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
∑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI).	f the final rejection. RST REPLY WAS FILE	D WITHIN TWO					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL								
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be a supplementary of the composition.	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.					
AMENDMENTS		£411	L · · · -					
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);								
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bel appeal; and/or		educing or simplifying	the issues for					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).								
i. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):								
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).								
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an	explanation of					
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>52, 54-56, 58-60, 62-64, 66 and 67</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	4. 6 4 660	t e	- 4 l 4					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence	is necessary					
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to d	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a					
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.								
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	ince because:					
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).								
3. Other:			_					
		DAVIDWILEY						

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendments made to the independent claims and the Applicant's arguments regarding the added limitations are a new issue that was not previously presented and therefore will require further consideration by the Examiner.